



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/683,376	09/683,376 12/19/2001		Robert W. Droege	24-NS-6049	7708
23465	7590	07/13/2004		EXAMINER	
JOHN S. F C/O ARMS		K TEASDALE, LLP	KEITH, JACK W		
ONE METI	ROPOLIT	'AN SQUARE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 260 ST LOUIS,	•	102-2740		3641	
•				DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)	/				
	09/683,376		DROEGE, ROBE	RT W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	1				
	Jack W. Keith	1	3641					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress				
Period for Reply				$A\lambda$				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, ply within the statutor d will apply and will ex	however, may a reply be tir y minimum of thirty (30) day pire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed /s will be considered tim In the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 i	<u>May 2004</u> .							
2b)⊠ Th	This action is FINAL 2b) This action is non-final.							
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is								
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quay	de, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims								
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1.3.5.6 and 26-30 is/are pending in	the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from cons	ideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) <u>1, 3, 5, 6 and 26-30</u> is/are rejected.	•							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	u la alian mod	ruiromant						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	J/or election red	juliement.						
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		. Finos					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b)L	_ objected to by the	e Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be	held in abeyance.	see 37 CFR 1.65(a	/. CER 1 121(d)				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	rection is require	d if the drawing(s) is	ce Action or form	PTO-152.				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. No	e me allached Om	oc Adion of form					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1 Certified copies of the priority docum	ients have beei	received.	N.					
a Codified copies of the priority docum	ients have beei	າ received in Applic	cation No	nal Stage				
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the profits are the profits of the profits are the pro	priority docume	nts have been rece	aved III tilis ivatio	nai Otage				
application from the International But	reau (PCT Ruic	3 17.2(a)).	eived					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	usi of the ceft	nou copies not root	 -					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413)					
Notice of References Cited (F10-032) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date	3) B/08)	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nal Patent Application	(PTO-152)				
LT. Jamork Office	co Action Summa	ırv	Part of Paper No./N	1ail Date 07062004				

Application/Control Number: 09/683,376

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

1. The request filed on 5/4/2004 for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 09/683,376 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 5/4/2004 have been fully considered.

The 112, first and second paragraph rejection of Paper no.02/22/2004 are withdrawn.

The 102 (b) rejections of Paper no. 16 are withdrawn.

Applicant argues that none of the prior art cited by the examiner anticipates the claimed invention. Particularly, the steps of: "... initiating a predetermined time delay; resetting each of the plurality of modes during the time delay; and... ".

The examiner agrees that the prior art does not appear to set forth a time delay and resetting of the modes during said time delay. However, based on the specification and amended claim language it appears that 112, first and second paragraph rejections are applicable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/683,376

Art Unit: 3641

4. Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, and 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Based on the claim language "resetting of the plurality of operating modes during the time delay" there is no support for switching from a first mode to a second mode of operation. That is if all conditions are reset, then the indicative signal causing the permissive requirement is reset. Thus the condition would no longer exist. Switching from a first mode to a second mode need not be done as the condition requiring such has been cleared. Furthermore there is no reason why the system could not be switched or retained in the first mode if the conditions are reset.

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, and 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, and 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: It appears that in order for the operating modes to be switched during the reset some residual condition must be present to allow the switching of the modes from a first mode to a

Bill to the server of the same of the server of the server

使用的 化环烷化二苯甲烷 电压电池

Art Unit: 3641

second mode to take place later on. Without such, no condition (i.e., indicative signal) exists; therefore, no reason to switch modes is present.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack W. Keith whose telephone number is (703) 306-5752. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-5 p.m., with Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on (703) 306-4198. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jack W. Keith Primary Examiner Art Unit 3641

jwk July 6, 2004